



United States Patent and Trademark Office
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/813,936 | 03/22/2001 | Wayne Morgan John | 713-409 | 1825 | |
| 7590 01/21/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Benjamin J. Hauptman | | | CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN | | |
| LOWE HAUPT | rman gilman & bi | ERNER, LLP | | | |
| Suite 310 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1700 Diagonal Road | | | 1772 | | |
| Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | DATE MAII ED: 01/21/2004 | • | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | ·m/4 | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| ·•·· | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summan | | . 09/813, | 936 | JOHN ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examin | er | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Alicia C | | 1772 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | nication appears on t | he cover sheet | with the correspondence ad | dress | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the sitatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a | event, however, may tatutory minimum of t will expire SIX (6) M pplication to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <u>21 October 20</u> | <u>003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is | non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>6,7,9,11-14,16,17,21,35-44</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>6,7,9,11-14,16,17 and 21</u> i Claim(s) <u>35-46 and 48-57</u> is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict | are withdrawn from o s/are allowed. ected. | consideration. | pplication. | | | | |
| | on Papers | | · | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)[| The drawing(s) filed on is/are | : a) ☐ accepted or t | o) objected t | o by the Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any obje | | • | | | | | |
| 441 | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | | | | | | | |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to | o by the Examiner. I | vote the attach | ed Office Action or form PT | O-152. | | | |
| | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | 0.440() ()) (0 | | | | |
| a)[* S 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the attached detailed Office action of the certified copies application from the Internation of the action of the foreign lands of the translation of the foreign lands of the certified complete of the certified complete of the foreign lands of the foreign lands of the first senting of the fi | documents have be documents have be of the priority documents Bureau (PCT Right for a list of the certor domestic priority of in the first sentence or domestic priority of domestic priority of domestic priority of domestic priority of domestic priority | een received. een received in nents have bee ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies no under 35 U.S.C ce of the specif application has under 35 U.S.C | Application No en received in this National Solution received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a | application) Data Sheet. a specific | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | • | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P | | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO . | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/813,936

Art Unit: 1772

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

Request for Continued Examination

- 1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.53 (d) filed in paper #13 on October 21, 2003 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.
- 2. Claims 6, 7, 9, 11-14, 16, 17, 21, 35-46, and 48-57 are pending in the application. Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 15, 18-20, 22-34 and 47 have been cancelled.
- 3. Amendments to claims in paper #14, filed on October 21, 2003, have been entered in the above-identified application.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

- 4. The 35 U.S.C. §112 rejections of claims 6-17, 21, 22, 24, 26 and 31, made of record in paper #9, page 3, paragraph #7 have been withdrawn due to Applicant's arguments in the after final response in paper #10, page 4, in the section headed 35 U.S.C. 112
- 5. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claims 6, 7, 9-12 and 35-37 over Robbins, Jr. (US Patent No. 4,340,633), made of record in paper #10, pages 3-4, paragraph #8 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #14.
- 6. The 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection of claim 6-8, 10-14, 22, 35-39, 43, 44 and 46 over Harper et al. (US Patent No. 5,077,117), made of record in paper #9, page 4, paragraph #9 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #14.

Application/Control Number: 09/813,936

Art Unit: 1772

- 7. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection of claim 40 as over Harper, made of record in paper #9, pages 4-5, paragraph #10 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #14.
- 8. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection of claims 15, 16, 41, 42 and 45 as over Harper, made of record in paper #9, page 5, paragraph #11 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #14.
- 9. The 35 U.S.C. §103 rejection of claims 6, 8, 24 and 35 as over Van Schoyck (US Patent No. 3,921,350) in view of Robbins, made of record in paper #9, pages 5-6, paragraph #12 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment in paper #14.

NEW REJECTIONS

10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 11. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 12. Claims 35-46 and 48-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "substrate ... having a first hardness; and a cut-resistant anti-

Application/Control Number: 09/813,936

Art Unit: 1772

slip coating ... having a second hardness greater than the first hardness," is not disclosed or described in the specification and is considered new matter. The disclosure regarding the hardness of the substrate and coating in the specification is in the Example, which starts on page 4. The Example mere states that the substrate has a Shore D hardness between 80 and 100 and the particles in the coating have a mohs hardness of between 9 and 10. There is no disclosure that the coating has a greater hardness than the substrate. Furthermore, the hardness valves for the substrate and the particles in the coating are not comparable because they were form with different tests.

Smy

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 6, 7, 9, 11-14, 16, 17 and 21 are allowed.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

14. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art fails to teach or suggest the recited shapeable weather-resistant anti-slip panel.

The structural limitations that overcome the prior art of record include, for independent claim 6, a weather-resistant anti-slip panel comprising a cut-resistant anti-slip coating on a working surface of an inflexible substrate and a pattern of uncoated, cutting lines on the substrate, wherein the substrate is an unsaturated polyester based on an orthophthalic resin filled with e-glass fibre and has a Shore D hardness of between 80 and 100.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/813,936

Art Unit: 1772

The structural limitations that overcome the prior art of record include, for independent claim 16, a weather-resistant anti-slip panel comprising a cut-resistant anti-slip coating on a working surface of an inflexible substrate and a pattern of uncoated, cutting lines on the substrate, wherein the substrate is an unsaturated polyester based on an orthophthalic resin filled with e-glass fibre and has a maximum deflection of 25° when 1 kg is suspended from a fixed panel test piece 100 mm long x 20 mm wide x 3-3.5 mm thick.

15. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

16. Applicant's arguments in paper #14 regarding the previous rejections of record have been considered but are most due to the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Blum (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,876 B1), Harvison (U.S. Patent No. 5,380,549) and McKinnon (U.S. Patent No. 4,959,250) all disclose similar panels.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490.

The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached by dialing (571) 272-1498. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for all communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose phone number is (571) 272-0987.

ac

1/7/04

SANDRAM. NOLAN